	Applica	ition No.	Applicant(s)		
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summ	10/626,	252	GIBSON ET AL.	:	
Lammer-indated interview Summi	Examin	ier	Art Unit		
	Michael	C. Miggins	1772		
All Participants: Status of Application:					
(1) Michael C. Miggins.	(3)	(3)			
(2) <u>Donald Coulman</u> .	(4)	(4)			
Date of Interview: 6 January 2006	Time	:			
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description:	] Applicant's repr	esentative)			
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed:					
Claims discussed: 33-36					
Prior art documents discussed: none					
Part II.					
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE Examiner's amendment approved.	E GENERAL NAT	TURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:		
Part III.					
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sometime directly resulted in the allowance of the applicant to the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sometime did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief</li> </ul>	ation. The examin	er will provide a writt the substance of the	en summary of the interview, since t	e substance	
,					
				-	
(Examiner/SPE Signature)	Applicant/Applica	nt's Representative S	ignature – if appro	priate)	